

Solutions: Sheet 3, Questions 2 and 3

2. Our aim is to construct a probability space representing an infinite sequence of coin tosses. Let $\Omega = \{\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{T}\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, so $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Omega$ represents an infinite string of heads and tails. Given $\mathbf{x} \in \{\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{T}\}^n$ for some n , write $C(\mathbf{x})$ for the cylinder set

$$C(\mathbf{x}) = \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega_1 = x_1, \omega_2 = x_2, \dots, \omega_n = x_n\}.$$

Write \mathcal{C} for the empty set and all cylinder sets $C(\mathbf{x})$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \{\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{T}\}^n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (a) Show that \mathcal{C} is a semialgebra on Ω

Solution: We have three things to check.

1. That $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$ is immediate.
2. Intersections with the empty set are empty, so consider $C(\mathbf{x}) \cap C(\mathbf{y})$. This is empty if for some i we have $x_i \neq y_i$, so it's only nonempty if \mathbf{x} is a prefix of \mathbf{y} , (or vice versa, but without loss of generality let's say \mathbf{x} is the prefix). In that situation, $C(\mathbf{x}) \cap C(\mathbf{y}) = C(\mathbf{y})$. For example, $C(\mathbf{H}) \cap C(\mathbf{HTT}) = C(\mathbf{HTT})$.
3. Suppose $\mathbf{x} \in \{\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{T}\}^n$. Then we have

$$C(\mathbf{x})^c = \bigcup_{\substack{\mathbf{y} \in \{\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{T}\}^n \\ \mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{x}}} C(\mathbf{y}),$$

with the union finite and disjoint.

Define $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by $\pi(\emptyset) = 0$ and for $\mathbf{x} \in \{\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{T}\}^n$ put $\pi(C(\mathbf{x})) = 2^{-n}$.

- (b) Show that π is finitely additive on disjoint sets in \mathcal{C} .

Solution: If we have a cylinder set $C(\mathbf{x})$ with \mathbf{x} of length n , we can instead deal with strings of length $n + k$ by writing

$$C(\mathbf{x}) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{y} \in \{\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{T}\}^k} C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}),$$

where (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) denotes the concatenation of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} . Note that the union is disjoint and finitely additive, in that the premeasures are

$$2^k \pi(C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) = 2^k 2^{-(n+k)} = 2^{-n}.$$

Suppose then we have a finite disjoint union $C(\mathbf{z}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^N C(\mathbf{x}_n)$ with \mathbf{z} of length m . Note that each \mathbf{x}_n has \mathbf{z} as a prefix. Let $m + j_n$ be the length of the string \mathbf{x}_n , and $m + j$ be longest length of any string. Then use the above to rewrite each of $C(\mathbf{x}_n)$ s in terms of strings of length $m + j$. By the above this preserves the premeasure. Then we have

$$C(\mathbf{z}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^N \bigcup_{\mathbf{y} \in \{\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{T}\}^{j-j_n}} C(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y}) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{w} \in \{\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{T}\}^{j-m}} C(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}).$$

Thus the premeasure is additive as above.

- (c) Show that no cylinder set can be written as a countably infinite disjoint union of cylinder sets. (*This is quite hard. If you can't give a proof, try to sketch the general idea, or even just explain why one might expect this to be true.*)

Solution: The idea is that $\omega \in C(\mathbf{x})$ is a condition only on the first n coinflips of ω for some n , whereas whether ω is in a countably infinite disjoint union could not be determined by any finite prefix of ω .

Assume, seeking a contradiction, that $C(\mathbf{x}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C(\mathbf{x}^{(n)})$, with the union a disjoint one. Thus the sets $B_N = C(\mathbf{x}) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^N C(\mathbf{x}^{(n)})$ are nonempty, with $B_1 \supset B_2 \supset \dots$. Further whether $\omega \in B_N$ is determined by a finite prefix of ω . Then there exists a z_1 , either \mathbf{H} or \mathbf{T} , such that every B_N contains a string starting $\omega_1 = z_1$. Fix such a z_1 . But by repeating, there exists a z_2 such that every B_N contains a string starting $(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (z_1, z_2)$. This way, we can produce an arbitrarily long string prefix that features in every B_N . But since whether $\omega \in B_N$ can be decided by a finite prefix, by going beyond that finite number, we see that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ is nonempty. Thus $C(\mathbf{x}) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C(\mathbf{x}^{(n)})$ is nonempty, and we have out contradiction.

(d) Deduce that π is a premeasure on \mathcal{C} .

Solution: That $\pi(\emptyset) = 0$ is immediate.

By (c), any countable disjoint union in \mathcal{C} can only include finitely many nonempty sets (perhaps with repetition), so finite additivity proves π is a premeasure.

Hence, by Carathéodory's extension theorem, π can be extended to a measure \mathbb{P} on $(\Omega, \sigma(\mathcal{C}))$.

(e) Show that any such \mathbb{P} is a probability measure.

Solution: Clearly

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = \mathbb{P}(C(\mathbb{H})) + \mathbb{P}(C(\mathbb{T})) = \pi(C(\mathbb{H})) + \pi(C(\mathbb{T})) = 1/2 + 1/2 = 1.$$

(f) Show that \mathbb{P} is the unique extension of π .

Solution: Carathéodory's extension theorem requires that the measure \mathbb{P} is σ -finite, which it is, since $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$.

3. Let (X, Σ, μ) and (Y, Π, ν) be two measure spaces. Write

$$\mathcal{S} = \{A \times B : A \in \Sigma, B \in \Pi\}$$

and put $\Sigma \otimes \Pi = \sigma(\mathcal{S})$ for the σ -algebra on $X \times Y$ generated by \mathcal{S} .

(a) Suppose X and Y are countable. What is $\mathcal{P}(X) \otimes \mathcal{P}(Y)$?

Solution: $\mathcal{P}(X) \otimes \mathcal{P}(Y) = \mathcal{P}(X \times Y)$

(b) Suppose $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$. Show that $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Solution: First let's show $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, for which it suffices to show that $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. This is obviously true.

Second we need to show $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Set

$$\mathcal{C} := \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) : A \times \mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)\}.$$

It's easy to check that \mathcal{C} is a σ -algebra, and also that it contains all one-dimensional open sets. Hence $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Similarly, we can set

$$\mathcal{D} := \{\mathbb{R} \times B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2) : B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\},$$

and see that \mathcal{D} is a σ -algebra with $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. But $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, so $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, as desired.

(c) Show, in general, that \mathcal{S} is a semialgebra on $X \times Y$.

Solution:

1. $\emptyset = \emptyset \times \emptyset$.
2. $(A_1 \times B_1) \cap (A_2 \times B_2) = (A_1 \cap A_2) \times (B_1 \cap B_2)$.
3. $(A \times B)^c = (A^c \times B) \cup (A \times B^c) \cup (A^c \times B^c)$.

Write $\pi(A \times B) = \mu(A)\nu(B)$. You may assume (and will probably prove later in the course) that π is a premeasure on (X, \mathcal{S}) . Hence π extends to a measure on $\Sigma \otimes \Pi$, which is called the *product measure* and written $\mu \times \nu$.

(d) Show that if μ and ν are both σ -finite, then the product measure is unique.

Solution: We need to show that the product measure $\mu \times \nu$ is σ -finite.

By assumption, there exist A_1, A_2, \dots in Σ with union X and measures $\mu(A_n) < \infty$, and B_1, B_2, \dots in Π with union Y and measures $\nu(B_m) < \infty$. Then we have

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (A_n \times B_m) = X \times Y,$$

where the union is countable and

$$(\mu \times \nu)(A_n \times B_m) = \mu(A_n)\nu(B_m) < \infty.$$

(e) Let X and Y be countable, and endowed with their powersets and counting measures. What is the corresponding product measure.

Solution: Counting measure on $X \times Y$.

(f) Outline (without proofs) an alternative construction of the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d for $d \geq 2$.

Solution: Define the Lebesgue measure λ on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ as in lectures. Then inductively define the Lebesgue measure λ_{d+1} on

$$(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{B}) = (\mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}))$$

as the product measure $\lambda_d \times \lambda$.